

1 Introduction

The occurrence of close encounters between galaxies has recently been recognized, by means of N-body simulations, as a possible mechanism for triggering bars in spiral discs (Byrd et al., 1986, Noguchi, 1987, Gerin, Combes, Athanassoula, 1990). However, so far little effort has been devoted to the investigation of observational evidence for this effect. Thompson (1981) noticed a greater fraction of barred spiral galaxies in the dense, inner regions of the Coma cluster than in the outer regions; this has been interpreted by Byrd and Valtonen (1990), always on the basis of N-body simulations, as the result of the interaction with the strong tidal field of the whole cluster. Noguchi (1987) recognized an excess of barred spirals among the galaxies of the Arp Atlas (Arp, 1966), which are presumably interacting, compared with the galaxies listed in the RC2 catalogue (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1976).

The only relevant extensive studies are due to Kumai et al. (1986) and Elmegreen, Elmegreen, Bellin (1990; hereafter EEB). Relying on the morphological classifications reported in the UGC catalogue of galaxies (Nilson, 1973), the Japanese authors counted the number of the UGC barred and unbarred spiral galaxies located in different environments: field galaxies, binary galaxies (as identified by Peterson, 1979) and galaxy members of Zwicky clusters (Zwicky et al., 1961-1963), subdivided into open, medium-compact and compact clusters. They found an overabundance of unbarred early-type spirals in dense environments, i. e. medium-compact and compact clusters, with respect to the population of field galaxies, which appears to be in line with the canonical galactic morphology-galactic density relation (see, e. g., the recent review of Whitmore, 1990). But the morphological subtype population of the barred spirals did not appear to depend on the environment; furthermore, in the binary sample the barred and unbarred spirals were found to have a similar subtype population.

In order to search for possible correlations between the presence of a

bar in a galaxy and its environment, EEB examined several catalogues of galaxies pertaining to different environments: the samples of binary galaxies constructed by Turner (1976) and Peterson (1979), the galaxy group samples identified by Turner and Gott (1970) and by Geller and Hucra (1983), a field sample taken from Turner and Gott (1976) and the whole RC2 catalog, which was taken as a general reference sample. Taking the Hubble morphological types and the bar types for each sample from the RC2 and the UGC catalogues, EEB noted an excess of barred early-type (Sa, Sb) spirals in the two binary samples with respect to the other galaxy samples; this is at variance with the findings of the Japanese authors. EEB also reported an excess of early-type spirals in galaxy pairs for barred spirals, and realized that intrinsically fainter and smaller binary members tend to be more frequently barred than brighter and larger ones. Nevertheless, the statistical significance of these interesting claims appears to be rather low (in general it is between 1 and 2 sigma).

The availability of numerous new galaxy type classifications, compiled in the new RC3 catalogue (de Vaucouleurs et al., 1991), the fairly low statistical significance of the above-mentioned main statistical results, and above all the fairly vague characterization of the various galaxy samples compared (namely the absence of a well-defined assessment of the environmental density) have prompted us to rediscuss this matter. In §2 we present the galaxy sample that we have chosen as our galaxy reference sample, i. e. the NBG catalogue (Tully 1988a). In §3 we discuss some suitable parameters which can be taken as indicators of the local density of galaxies for each galaxy of the sample considered. In §4 we compare the distribution functions of the various parameters of local density for subsets of spirals of different morphological and bar types. We also explore the environmental effects on the presence of inner rings (which are often associated with bars) in spirals. In §5 we summarize our main results. They indicate that the low-luminosity spirals characterized by high local density tend to be both barred and early-type, thus providing

a meaningful, statistically strong confirmation of EEB's suggestions. Taking into account the relevant N-body simulations of the effects of interactions on spiral discs, we also discuss two alternative scenarios each of which can account for the observational facts. On the other side, no significant density segregation effect is observed between pure S-shaped (S(s)) and inner-ringed (S(r)) spirals.

2 The galaxy sample

We have chosen the 2367 galaxies of the NBG catalogue (Tully 1988a) as a galaxy sample, since this catalogue is intended to include essentially all the nearby galaxies with systemic velocities of less than 3000 km/sec. This corresponds to a distance of 40 Mpc for the Hubble constant $H_0 = 75 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, which value is adopted throughout the present paper. The potential inhomogeneity of this catalogue is severely limited by the fact that it is substantially a combination of two sources: (i) the magnitude-limited Shapley-Ames sample of bright galaxies (see the RSA catalogue of Sandage & Tammann, 1981), which assures completion across the sky to the corrected blue total magnitude $B_T \sim 12.0$; (ii) the diameter limited sample of late-type and fainter galaxies found in an all-sky HI survey, made principally by Fisher and Tully (1981) and Reif et al. (1982); incompleteness becomes severe beyond $v \sim 2000 \text{ km/sec}$ for this survey, which is also insensitive to the HI-deficient objects (early-type galaxies).

In the NBG catalogue the distances of all non-cluster galaxies have been essentially estimated on the basis of velocities, an assumed $H_0 = 75 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, and the Virgocentric retardation model described by Tully and Shaya (1984), in which the authors assume that the Milky Way is retarded by 300 km/sec from the universal Hubble flow by the mass of the Virgo cluster. The galaxy members of clusters have been given a distance consistent with the mean velocity of the cluster (Tully 1988a).

We have updated the Hubble morphological types and the bar types (SB=barred, SA=unbarred, SAB=transition type) of the NBG spiral galaxies by consulting the RC3 catalogue of galaxies. In order to avoid the severe incompleteness of the NBG catalogue at large distances, in the present paper we restrict ourselves to considering only the NBG galaxies with distance $D \leq 30$ Mpc.

3 The local density of galaxies

3.1 Definition of local density parameters

We propose to define suitable parameters which adequately express the local density of galaxies for each spiral galaxy of our sample. Reasonably, the incompleteness of the NBG catalogue at large distances enters into the evaluation of the local density. The incompleteness of the NBG catalogue within various shells of depth equal to 0.5 magnitude was evaluated by Tully (1988b); he compared the observed number of galaxies with the number expected from the normalized suitable Schechter-type (Schechter, 1976) galaxy blue luminosity functions, using a cutoff of $M_B = -16.0$ for the galaxy blue absolute magnitude. More than 90% of the NBG galaxies appear to have absolute luminosities greater than that limit. The smooth curve which describes the observed increase in incompleteness with distance D (in Mpc) obeys the expression (Tully, 1988b):

$$F = \exp[0.041(\mu - 28.5)^{2.78}], \quad (1)$$

where $\mu = 5 \log D + 25$ is the distance modulus and $F = 1$ if $\mu < 28.5$. The incompleteness factor F expresses the number of galaxies that should have been catalogued for each object that is listed in the NBG catalogue at a given distance.

Tully (1988b) estimated the contribution of each galaxy brighter than $M_B = -16$ to the local density at the specific location by using a gaussian

smoothing function:

$$\rho_i = C \exp[-r_i^2/2(F^{1/3}\sigma)^2], \quad (2)$$

where r_i is the spatial distance of galaxy i from the specified location and the normalization coefficient is $C = 1/(2\pi)^{3/2}\sigma^3 = 0.0635/\sigma^3$. For a galaxy at a larger distance D , the smoothing scale length $(F^{1/3}\sigma)$ is increased in such a way that the amplitude of the density peak associated with a galaxy is the same at all distances D ; in such a way the function ρ_i satisfies the normalization condition $\int \rho_i dV = F$.

Each galaxy of sufficient luminosity ($M_B \leq -16$) in the sample will contribute to the local density at a given location in the manner described by Eq. (2). The local density of galaxies ρ at the specified location was defined by Tully (1988b) as a summation over the contributions of all galaxies brighter than $M_B = -16$:

$$\rho = \sum_i \rho_i. \quad (3)$$

Tully (1988b) chose a smoothing constant of $\sigma = 1$ Mpc for the values of ρ tabulated in his NBG catalogue. So an isolated galaxy (with $M_B \leq -16$) had a local density of $\rho \simeq 0.06$ galaxies Mpc^{-3} just because of its presence, whereas at the other extreme, in the core of Virgo cluster, the local density ρ reached the value of ~ 4 galaxies Mpc^{-3} . A smoothing constant of $\sigma = 0.5$ Mpc was chosen for plotting the contours of surface density of galaxies on the maps of the NBG Atlas (Tully and Fisher, 1987) ($\sigma = 0.25$ Mpc was used for the nearest galaxies).

The above-mentioned definition of ρ_i implies a fairly small dynamical range for the local density ρ of the NBG galaxies, especially when $\sigma \sim 1$ Mpc. It could be more convenient and more general to try to use a smoothing function which diverges for $r_i \rightarrow 0$, in order to enhance the local density ρ of the galaxies which have close companions. To obtain this we replace Eq.

(2) with the following more general expression:

$$\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}^i = \frac{C(\alpha)}{\sigma^{3-\alpha} r_i^\alpha} \exp[-r_i^2/2(F^{1/(3-\alpha)}\sigma)^2], \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha=0, 1, 2$ and the corresponding normalization coefficients are: $C(0) = 1/(2\pi)^{3/2} \simeq 0.0635$, $C(1) = 1/4\pi \simeq 0.0796$, $C(2) = 1/4\pi\sqrt{2\pi} \simeq 0.0318$. In the case of a very small r_i , we always adopt a lower limit of r_i roughly corresponding to a typical galaxy size ($r_i=0.05$ Mpc). For $\alpha=0$ Eq. (4) simply reduces to (2).

Then we can define the galaxy local density $\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}$ of a given NBG galaxy as the summation over all contributions of all other galaxies brighter than $M_B = -16$, excluding the galaxy itself:

$$\rho_{\alpha,\sigma} = \sum_i \rho_{\alpha,\sigma}^i. \quad (5)$$

Thus, according to our definitions of $\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}^i$ and $\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}$ (Eqs. (4) and (5)), an isolated galaxy always has $\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}=0$, for any α and σ , whilst, according to the previous expressions (2) and (3), it would have a local density ρ unreasonably dependent on the choice of σ .

In general, the choice of low σ -values lead to large ρ -values, because of the clustering properties of galaxies, as we shall clarify in the next subsection. We have verified that, compared to $\rho_{0,\sigma}$, the parameters $\rho_{1,\sigma}$ and $\rho_{2,\sigma}$ remain much more sensitive to the presence of very close neighbours also for fairly large σ -values. Thus, even for fairly high σ -values, $\rho_{1,\sigma}$ and $\rho_{2,\sigma}$ behave in a manner substantially similar to $\rho_{0,\sigma}$ with fairly low σ -values. Therefore, in the following we shall limit ourselves to considering $\rho_{\alpha,\sigma}$ in the case $\alpha=0$, denoting this quantity simply by ρ_σ .

In order to calculate the local density ρ_σ we need to know the absolute magnitudes of the NBG galaxies, of which those with $M_B \leq -16$ will be taken as contributors to the local density. We used the M_B estimates of

many NBG galaxies (as recorded in the NBG) based on the adopted distances and the corrected total blue apparent magnitudes. We estimated the absolute magnitudes of several galaxies with unknown apparent magnitudes from their corrected isophotal diameters D_{25} (relative to the 25 B_T mag arcsec $^{-2}$ brightness level) tabulated in the NBG catalogue, by relying on the following standard luminosity-diameter relations:

$$M_B = -4.8 \log D_{25} - 13.8, \quad (6)$$

with D_{25} expressed in kpc, for the elliptical galaxies (Giuricin et al., 1989), and

$$M_B = -5.7 \log D_{25} - 12.4 \quad (7)$$

for the lenticular and spiral galaxies (Girardi et al., 1991).

Another suitable parameter of the local density of galaxies that we shall use in this paper is the number of galaxies, always with $M_B \leq -16$, which are located within a spherical volume of radius $RF^{1/3}$ around a given galaxy, divided by the volume $4\pi R^3/3$; F again takes into account the incompleteness of the sample. We denote the resulting local galaxy density as C_R (expressed in galaxies Mpc $^{-3}$).

Other useful indices of the local galaxy density are the distances (expressed in Mpc) d_1 (d_2 , d_3 ...) of the first (second, third...) nearest galaxy, the mean distances $\langle d_n \rangle$ of the first n nearest galaxies; another interesting quantity is the morphological type T_n of the n th nearest galaxy.

3.2 The Behaviour of ρ_σ as a function of σ

As already mentioned, the choice of low σ values leads to large ρ values, because of the clustering properties of galaxies, as we shall clarify now. Notably, the mean value of the parameter ρ_σ , calculated for the galaxies of our sample, is related to the two-point correlation function of galaxies, $\xi(r)$,

defined in terms of the probability dP of finding that a galaxy, chosen arbitrarily from our galaxy sample, has a neighbour in the infinitesimal volume dV at distance r :

$$dP = n(1 + \xi(r))dV, \quad (8)$$

where $n = N/V$ is the mean number of galaxies found within the finite volume V . As a matter of fact, the contribution to the local density ρ_σ of the volume dV will be $dP \cdot \rho_\sigma^i$, with ρ_σ^i given by Eq. (4). The average local density $\langle \rho_\sigma \rangle$ for the galaxies of our sample will be

$$\langle \rho_\sigma \rangle = \int_V (1 + \xi(r)) \rho_\sigma^i(\mathbf{r}) dV. \quad (9)$$

The integral is extended over the volume occupied by our galaxy sample, which in our case, because of the zone obscured by the Milky Way plane, is roughly

$$V = \frac{4}{3} \pi D^3 (1 - \sin \theta) \simeq 8.8 \cdot 10^4 \text{ Mpc}^3, \quad (10)$$

with $D=30$ Mpc and $\theta \sim 15^\circ$; 2θ is the adopted mean angular extension of the obscuration zone.

The above-mentioned quantity $n = N/V \simeq 0.019$ galaxies Mpc^{-3} is the mean density of galaxies, uncorrected for the incompleteness of the galaxy sample. The corrected mean density of galaxies is $n' = N'/V \simeq 0.50$ galaxies Mpc^{-3} , with $N' = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i = 4165.4$, where the incompleteness factor F_i for the i th galaxy is given by Eq. (1). We now define the mean value $\langle F \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N F_i / N = N' / N$; replacing the function F contained in the Eq. (4) with its mean value $\langle F \rangle$, and using the normalization condition $\int \rho_\sigma^i dV = \langle F \rangle$, the quantity

$$\Delta \rho_\sigma = (\langle \rho_\sigma \rangle - n') / n' \quad (11)$$

can be written as:

$$\Delta \rho_\sigma \simeq \frac{1}{\langle F \rangle} \int_V \xi(r) \rho_\sigma^i(\mathbf{r}) dV. \quad (12)$$

For a canonical power-law correlation function $\xi(r) = (r/r_0)^{-\gamma}$ we obtain the following analytical expression for $\Delta\rho_\sigma$:

$$\Delta\rho_\sigma \simeq (4\pi r_0^\gamma / ((2\pi)^{3/2} \sigma^3 \langle F \rangle)) \int_0^\infty r^{2-\gamma} \exp[-r^2/2(\langle F \rangle^{1/3} \sigma)^2] dr. \quad (13)$$

$\Delta\rho_\sigma$ can be rewritten in terms of the new variable $x = r/\sqrt{2}\langle F \rangle^{1/3}\sigma$ as

$$\Delta\rho_\sigma \simeq g(\gamma)(\sigma/r_0)^{-\gamma} \quad (14)$$

with

$$g(\gamma) = \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} 2^{(3-\gamma)/2} \langle F \rangle^{-\gamma} \int_0^\infty x^{2-\gamma} \exp -x^2 dx. \quad (15)$$

Eq. (14) clearly shows that, for the reasonable case of $\gamma > 0$, low σ values give large values of $\Delta\rho_\sigma$ (and $\langle\rho_\sigma\rangle$), as anticipated above. Furthermore, we expect a roughly linear $\log \Delta\rho_\sigma - \log \sigma$ relation, with a slope equal to γ . Table 1 presents the values, for our galaxy sample, of the average local densities $\langle\rho_\sigma\rangle$ (in galaxies Mpc^{-3}) and $\Delta\rho_\sigma$ relative to different σ values. Fig. 1 illustrates the expected approximate linear relation between $\log \Delta\rho_\sigma$ and $\log \sigma$, with $\gamma \sim 1$ and the correlation length $r_0 \sim 19$ Mpc. There seems to be a discrepancy between the values of γ and r_0 which fit the points plotted in Fig. 1 and the canonical values $\gamma \sim 1.8$ and r_0 in the range $5\div 11$ Mpc (for our H_0 of $75 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$), which describe the two-point correlation function $\xi(r)$ of individual galaxies (see, e. g., the review of Geller, 1987). This discrepancy is not unexpected, since our sample of nearby galaxies is not a fair sample of the universe.

3.3 Correlations between different parameters of local density

From our galaxy sample we have analyzed many correlations between the different parameters of local density defined above, in order to clarify their meaning. Fig. 2 displays the correlations between $\rho_{1.0}$ (i. e. $\sigma = 1$ Mpc) and

$\rho_{0.5}$, $\rho_{1.25}$ and $\rho_{1.5}$. The larger the difference between the σ values, the poorer the correlation obviously is, but it is remarkable that the points tend to be located on different main relations; this is due to the presence of some clusters of galaxies, like the Virgo Cluster, whose members (denoted by squares in Fig. 2) tend to stay mainly on the relations which go up to the largest $\rho_{1.0}$ values. In other words, the members of clusters tend to be characterized by large ρ values whenever large σ values ($\sigma \sim 1$ Mpc) are adopted, whereas binary galaxies or galaxies with very close neighbours, at distances of a few tenths of Mpc, will have high ρ values particularly if low σ values ($\sigma \sim 0.25$ Mpc) are adopted.

Examining the correlations between $\rho_{0.5}$ and the densities of galaxy counts C_R for various R -values we have found that the best correlation is with $C_{1.0}$ (see Fig. 3). We have verified that in general ρ_σ tends to show the best correlation with C_R when $R \sim 2\sigma$, and that these correlations appear to be rather poor for low R values, because of the discreteness of the galaxy counts, and tend to become better and better for larger R . As expected, there is a good correlation between $\rho_{0.1}$ and d_1 (the distance of the nearest galaxy), whilst the correlations between the same density parameter and d_2 becomes poorer (see Fig. 4). We have also found that the distances and mean distances of the somewhat farther companions better correlate with the values of ρ_σ relative to the fairly large σ values.

4 Results

4.1 Barred spirals

Table 2 lists the number of spiral galaxies found, binned by Hubble morphological type (Sa–Sab, Sb–Sbc, Sc–Scd and Sd–Sm) and bar type (SA, SAB and SB), for the 866 NBG spirals with $M_B \leq -16$, distance $D \leq 30$ Mpc and known bar classification, according to the RC3 catalogue. We have

calculated the distribution functions of the parameters ρ_σ for $\sigma = 0.25, 0.5, 1.0$ and 2.0 Mpc, for the above-mentioned subsets of galaxies with different Hubble types and bar classifications. Figs. 5, 6, 7 and 8 show the cumulative distribution functions of ρ_σ for $\sigma = 0.25$ and 1.0 Mpc. Clearly, early-type barred spirals (SBa and SBab) tend to have greater local densities ρ_σ than unbarred ones (SAa and SAab), especially for $\sigma = 0.25$ Mpc; moreover, as the distribution function of SAB galaxies is more similar to that of SBs, the reasonable inclusion of the SAB galaxies into the SB subset will strengthen this difference. On the other hand, no appreciable density segregation is observed in the later morphological types.

In order to test the significance of the differences between the distributions of ρ_σ for the two different subsets of galaxies, the SAa-ab and SBa-ab plus SABa-ab subsets, we have applied the classical Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (hereafter KS; e. g. Hoel, 1971), the Rank-Sum test (hereafter RS; e. g. Hoel, 1971), and the Mann-Whitney U-test (e. g. Kendall and Stuart, 1979). In Table 3 we give the probabilities in percent, $p(KS)$, $p(U)$ and $p(RS)$, that the two distributions of ρ_σ , for the two subsets defined above, refer to different distribution functions according to the three (two-tailed) statistical tests. Table 3 specifies that the above-mentioned density effect is particularly strong (at the ~ 3 sigma significance level) for ρ_σ with low σ values (mainly $\sigma = 0.25$) and tends to weaken as we move to higher σ values. This indicates that this effect is mainly due to the presence of close companions, at a distance of a few tenths of Mpc. We have verified that no appreciable density segregation between barred and unbarred objects is observed in the mid- and late-type spirals. Furthermore, the 32 S0/a galaxies have not been included in the Sa-Sab subset because they do not show any segregation and are too few to be considered as a subset by themselves.

The use of the density parameter C_R , for $R = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0$ and 2.0 Mpc, leads to similar results: the barred early-type (Sa, Sab) spirals appear to stay appreciably in zones of higher local density, mainly for $R = 0.5$ Mpc,

compared to the unbarred early-type ones (see Table 4). If in the calculation of C_R we restrict ourselves to counting separately the E/S0, early-type (S0/a–Sb) spirals and late-type (Sbc–Sm) spirals, we realize that the density effect is substantially due to the presence of early-type galaxy neighbours, E/S0 and early-type spirals. This finding is likely to be related to the well-known preference of early-type galaxies to occupy denser regions.

The evaluation of the distances d_1 , d_2 , $\langle d_2 \rangle$ and $\langle d_3 \rangle$ confirms the previous results: as a matter of fact, within the early-type spirals (Sa–Sab) the barred spirals have, on average, smaller distances from the nearest neighbours than the unbarred ones (see Fig. 9 and Table 5). Furthermore, from the distributions of the morphology T_1 of the nearest neighbour, we infer that the barred early-type spirals tend to have galaxies of an earlier type as companions, if compared to the unbarred ones (see Fig. 10 and Table 5); this is consistent with our previous findings.

When we divide our early-type spirals into objects intrinsically brighter or fainter than $M_B = -20$, we find that the local density segregation between barred and unbarred spirals essentially concerns only the less luminous objects (see Fig. 11 and Table 6), whereas no appreciable effect is apparent in the high-luminosity range.

After having divided the SA, SAB and SB spirals into three intervals of local density, $\rho_{0.25} < 0.001$, $0.001 \leq \rho_{0.25} \leq 3$, $\rho_{0.025} > 3$ galaxies Mpc^{-3} , we have examined whether the morphological type distributions of each of the three bar types are different in the three density bins. The arbitrary choice of the three density intervals is related to the convenience of having a comparable number of objects in the three density intervals; nevertheless, we have verified that lowering the upper limit of 3 galaxies Mpc^{-3} to 2 does not significantly change the results. We have found that the morphological type distribution of the SB galaxies associated with the highest density range is significantly shifted, at a level of ~ 3 sigma, to morphological types earlier than the SB located in the lower density regions (see Fig. 12 and Table

7), whereas no morphological type shift as a function of the local density is observed for the SA and SAB types. Finally, we have noted a similar behaviour using other ρ_σ parameters; for instance, for $\rho_{1.0}$, taking as bin limits 0.1 and 0.3 galaxies Mpc^{-3} , the SB galaxies in the densest zones show a ~ 3 sigma excess of early type spirals, whereas for the SA and SAB galaxies the distributions of morphological type are substantially constant for the various density regions.

4.2 Ringed spirals

The occurrence of rings in galaxies, generally distinguished into nuclear, inner and outer rings, is observed to be frequently associated with the presence of bars (see, e. g., the review of Buta, 1989, on the observational properties of rings). Here we shall consider only the inner rings, which are more widely present and more easily discernible than the other two types of rings; in this case barred and unbarred galaxies are taken together. The presence of inner rings defines the so-called S(r) variety of the standard galaxy classification adopted in the RC2 and RC3 catalogues. Various dynamical mechanisms have been advanced to explain the formation of inner (and nuclear as well) rings: viscous torques, outflowing winds thermally driven by violent star formation rates, gravitational torques (and Lindblad resonances) requiring non-axisymmetric potentials which are thought to be mostly due to bars or tidal interactions (see, e. g., the review of Combes, 1991, on the theoretical aspects of ring formation). Lastly, Zaspel (1992) stressed that ring structures can arise in a gas or stellar disk without assuming the initial presence of a bar or oval distortion. This would explain the existence of some disk galaxies with definite ring structures, but very weak (if any) bar features (as observed by Buta, 1990a, b).

If ring-like features are often triggered during a tidal interaction, as is shown in some N-body simulations (Combes, Dupraz and Gerin, 1990;

Combes, 1991), we expect to find an overabundance of ringed systems (S(r) spirals) located in high-density regions with respect to the pure spiral S-shaped (S(s)) systems.

Table 8 contains the number of NBG spirals within a distance of 30 Mpc, binned by Hubble morphological type and ring type (S(r), S(rs), S(s)). Comparing the distribution functions of the parameters ρ_σ for $\sigma = 0.25, 0.5, 1.0$ and 2.0 Mpc for the various subsets of galaxies, we have found no significant density segregation between S(r) (or S(r)+S(rs)) and S(s) spirals, either for the whole spiral sequence or for the early types alone (see Fig. 13; we have checked that the weak difference between the distributions shown in this figure is not statistically significant (< 1 sigma)). The subdivision of our S(r), S(rs), S(s) galaxies into high-luminosity and low-luminosity objects (with $M_B = -20$ as a limit) always confirms this negative result. We conclude that tidal interactions probably play a minor role (if any) in the formation of inner rings, compared to the other mechanisms mentioned above. Nevertheless, we are aware that the categorization of spirals into the S(r), S(rs) and S(s) varieties is less secure than the bar type classification and that independent observers are often not very consistent about this subtle aspect of galaxy structure.

5 Discussions and conclusions

Employing a variety of parameters for local galaxy density, we have found a significant excess of barred galaxies in the high-density regions (on scales of a few tenths of Mpc) of our nearby universe, for the early-type (Sa, Sab) and relatively faint ($M_B \geq -20$) spirals, together with an overabundance of early-type spirals in the subset of the barred systems which reside in denser regions. Thus, spiral galaxies which inhabit dense regions, on scales of ~ 0.25 Mpc, tend to be barred if they are of early type and tend to be of early type if they are barred. Consequently, the extension of the canonical morphology–density

relation to the spiral sequence — according to which early-type spirals tend to reside in denser regions than late-type objects (e. g. Giuricin et al., 1988; Tully, 1988b) — appears to hold for the barred family alone. Our findings provide a meaningful, statistically strong confirmation of the recent, similar (albeit weaker) results of EEB, which, however, lack good criteria for the definition of the local density of galaxies.

The fact that the density segregation that we have found is seen essentially in low-luminosity spirals can be easily explained as follows. As a matter of fact, several N-body simulations concur that interactions stimulate or considerably accelerate the formation of a bar in a galaxy, particularly in the case of a more massive companion (Noguchi, 1987; Gerin et al., 1990; Salo, 1991) — i. e., especially for faint galaxies, on average. Besides, interaction-induced bar formation is theoretically expected to be easier in galaxy models which have rising rotation curves up to a large fraction of the galaxy optical disk radius — as in low-luminosity spirals (see e. g. Persic and Salucci, 1991) — than in models which have rotation curves which rise up to a small fraction of the disk and become flat thereafter — as in high-luminosity spirals. In the latter galaxy models the preferred outcome of an interaction appears to be an increase in the rate of disruptive collisions between high-velocity interstellar gas clouds, with consequent enhanced star formation, especially in the central galactic region, without a formation of strong, long-lived bars (Olson and Kwan, 1990).

Nevertheless, on the basis of the available numerical simulations it is not easy to understand why galaxy interactions promote bar formation primarily in early-type spirals. We speculate that this different behaviour of early and late-type spirals can be related to the fact that the bars in early types have basically different photometric and kinematic properties (being generally stronger and longer) than those in late types, probably ending near different orbital resonances (see, e. g., Elmegreen and Elmegreen, 1985, 1989).

In order to account for this different behaviour, EEB appear to prefer a scenario in which close encounters of galaxies are supposed to induce both bar formation in a galaxy and a simultaneous shift of its morphological type towards early types. The latter effect could be caused by interaction-induced inflow of stellar and gaseous mass — which is found in the N-body simulations of Byrd et al. (1986) and Byrd, Sundelius, Valtonen (1987). This inflow would increase the stellar and gas density in the inner regions; besides, in the case of prolonged interactions, the inflow of the gaseous dissipative component would deplete the outer disk of gas and lower the star formation rate in the outer regions. These changes would correspond to an alteration of the galaxy morphology towards earlier types. Within this scenario the morphology–density relation in the spiral sequence would be mostly due to interaction-induced evolutionary processes, rather than to density-dependent processes of galaxy formation. The recent N-body simulations of Noguchi (1988) and Salo (1991) confirm that tidally induced stellar bar structures are able to drive an efficient infall of the gas component in the disk to the nuclear region; this infall would trigger nuclear activity in interacting galaxies (see, e. g., the review of Heckman, 1990). But they also find no appreciable corresponding large-scale infall of the non-dissipative stellar component. However, a gas infall would give rise to star formation, and if this process is sufficiently fast and efficient it could lead to an increase of the stellar component of the bulge; as a consequence, the bulges of barred early types would tend to appear somewhat bluer than the unbarred ones, a fact which has not been observed yet. In conclusion, interaction-induced changes in the galactic morphological type do not appear to be well documented by the most recent N-body simulations.

Alternatively, our observational results could be framed in a view which does not involve any interaction-induced alteration of the galactic morphology. Unfortunately, the basic galaxy structural parameters which are generally varied in the available simulations (such as the disc-to-halo mass ratio

and the degree of central concentration of the halo mass) do not describe properly spiral galaxies of different morphological types; therefore, the effect of interactions on bar triggering as a function of morphological type can not be inferred. Nevertheless, we could envisage a scenario in which interactions are able to trigger prominent bar structures essentially in early types; besides, the excess of early types in barred systems associated with high local densities could be explained if we admitted that the galaxies in denser regions are preferentially early-type because of a morphology-density relation: eventually this excess in unbarred spirals would be cancelled by the fact that interacting early-type SAs have become barred at later times. On this view it is implicit that the morphology-density relation is likely to be due to the initial conditions for galaxy formation — see, e. g., the “biased” scheme of galaxy formation (Blumenthal et al., 1984) — or to global (and local) processes occurring at the beginning of galaxy life — see, e. g., the density-dependent rate of conversion of gas into stars after galaxy collapse (Icke, 1985; Lacey and Silk, 1991) — rather than to late evolutionary processes.

The two basic scenarios that we have outlined require dramatic (possibly unrealistic) processes, i. e. a radical change in morphology and a drastic dependence of bar stimulation on the morphology itself. A scenario, in which both processes occur simultaneously, albeit in a weaker way, seems more reasonable: bar triggering, easier for an early-type spiral, accompanied by a slight change in morphology, could lead to the almost discontinuous behaviour observed. In this case a primordial morphology-density relation would still be needed.

The authors thank Massimo Persic and Paolo Salucci for useful discussions. One of the authors (P. M.) acknowledges enlightening conversations with Françoise Combes. The authors are also grateful to Harold G. Corwin Jr. for having provided them with the ninth tape version of the Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (RC3). This work was partially sup-

ported by the Ministry of University and Scientific and Technological Research (MURST) and by the Italian Research Council (CNR-GNA).

Tables

Table 1: The quantities $\langle \rho_\sigma \rangle$ and $\Delta \rho_\sigma$ for different σ values.

σ (Mpc)	$\langle \rho_\sigma \rangle$ (gal/Mpc ³)	$\Delta \rho_\sigma$ —
0.1	2.46	48.53
0.25	1.43	27.85
0.5	0.79	14.87
0.75	0.52	9.52
1.0	0.38	6.58
1.25	0.28	4.73
1.5	0.22	3.49
2.0	0.15	2.01

Table 1: Values of the mean density $\langle \rho_\sigma \rangle$ (in galaxies Mpc⁻³) and of the quantity $\Delta \rho_\sigma$, as defined in Eq. (11), calculated for the NBG galaxies with $M_B \leq -16$ and $D \leq 30$ Mpc, for the indicated values of σ (in Mpc).

Table 2: The galaxy sample: barred and unbarred galaxies.

	Sa, Sab	Sb, Sbc	Sc, Scd	Sd–Sm
SA	34(36)	66(34)	80(32)	33(10)
SAB	25(26)	65(34)	83(33)	83(25)
SB	36(38)	61(32)	90(35)	210(65)
TOT	95	192	253	326

Table 2: Number of NBG galaxies with $M_B \leq -16$, $D \leq 30$ Mpc and known bar type according to RC3, divided into four morphological type bins. The relative percentages are given in parenthesis.

Table 3: Comparison of SA and SAB, SB for early-type spirals: ρ_σ .

$\sigma =$		0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0
SA	p(KS)	99.89	97.18	98.22	83.04
vs	p(U)	99.98	99.29	95.73	92.77
SB+SAB	p(RS)	99.94	99.26	95.72	92.76

Table 3: Results of the KS, U and RS tests for the comparison of the distributions of local density ρ_σ of the two indicated subsets of Sa, Sab galaxies, for the indicated values of σ . The three numbers p(KS), p(U) and p(RS) give the probability, in percent, that the two distributions compared are different.

Table 4: Comparison of SA and SAB, SB for early-type spirals: C_R .

$R=$		0.2	0.5	1.0	2.0
SA	p(KS)	29.73	99.65	78.78	76.25
vs	p(U)	96.72	99.97	98.63	93.57
SB+SAB	p(RS)	78.14	99.88	98.52	93.54

Table 4: Results of the KS, U and RS tests for the comparison of the distributions of local density C_R of the two indicated subsets of Sa, Sab galaxies, for the indicated values of R . The three numbers p(KS), p(U) and p(RS) give the probability, in percent, that the two distributions compared are different.

Table 5: Comparison of SA and SAB, SB for early-type spirals: distances.

		d_1	d_2	$\langle d_2 \rangle$	$\langle d_3 \rangle$	T_1
SA	p(KS)	99.98	92.78	99.33	95.19	79.18
vs	p(U)	99.94	98.80	99.65	99.38	97.97
SB+SAB	p(RS)	99.94	98.80	99.64	99.38	97.88

Table 5: Results of the KS, U and RS tests for the comparison of the distributions of local distances d_1 , d_2 , $\langle d_2 \rangle$, $\langle d_3 \rangle$ and T_1 (morphological type of the nearest companion) of the two indicated subsets of Sa, Sab galaxies. The three numbers p(KS), p(U) and p(RS) give the probability, in percent, that the two distributions compared are different.

Table 6: Comparison of SA and SAB, SB for early-type faint spirals: ρ_σ

$\sigma =$		0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0
SA	p(KS)	99.95	99.51	95.34	72.23
vs	p(U)	99.98	99.85	98.05	90.34
SB+SAB	p(RS)	99.96	99.84	98.06	90.34

Table 6: Results of the KS, U and RS tests for the comparison of the distributions of local density ρ_σ of the two indicated subsets of Sa, Sab galaxies fainter than $M_B = -20$, for the indicated values of σ . The three numbers p(KS), p(U) and p(RS) give the probability, in percent, that the two distributions compared are different.

Table 7: Comparison of the morphological type distributions for spirals in different density regions.

		ld vs md	md vs hd	ld vs hd
SA	p(KS)	3.63	0.04	30.65
	p(U)	66.35	33.79	28.62
	p(RS)	65.74	33.34	28.24
SAB	p(KS)	29.02	0.03	50.76
	p(U)	60.08	9.77	66.75
	p(RS)	59.68	9.70	66.30
SB	p(KS)	47.08	98.70	97.95
	p(U)	65.06	99.53	99.54
	p(RS)	64.50	99.48	99.50

Table 7: Results of the KS, U and RS tests for the comparison of the distributions of morphological types T, coded as in NBG, for the three subsets of low density (ld, $\rho_{0.25} < 0.001$), mid density (md, $0.001 \leq \rho_{0.25} \leq 3$) and high density (hd, $\rho_{0.25} > 3$) galaxies, divided in SA, SAB and SB. The three numbers p(KS), p(U) and p(RS) give the probability, in percent, that the two distributions compared are different.

Table 8: The galaxy sample: inner-ringed (S(r)) and unringed (S(s)) galaxies.

	Sa, Sab	Sb, Sbc	Sc, Scd	Sd–Sm
Sr	24(26)	37(20)	13(6)	4(1)
Srs	20(21)	77(42)	90(38)	43(16)
Ss	49(53)	70(38)	134(56)	227(83)
TOT	93	150	237	274

Table 8: Number of NBG galaxies with $M_B \leq -16$, $R \leq 30$ Mpc and known inner ring type according to RC3, divided in four morphological type bins. The relative percentages are given in parenthesis.

References

- [1] Arp, H., 1966, ApJS, 14, 1
- [2] Blumenthal, G. R., Faber, S. M., Primack, J. R., Rees, M. J., 1984, Nature, 311, 517
- [3] Buta, R., 1989, in The World of Galaxies, H. C. Corwin Jr. and L. Bottinelli eds., Springer Verlag, 29
- [4] Buta, R., 1990a, ApJ, 351, 62
- [5] Buta, R., 1990b, ApJ, 356, 87
- [6] Byrd, G. G., Sundelius, B., Valtonen, M., 1987, A&A, 171, 16
- [7] Byrd, G. G., Valtonen, M., 1990, ApJ, 350, 89
- [8] Byrd, G. G., Valtonen, M., Sundelius, B., Valtaoja, L., 1986, A&A, 166, 75
- [9] Combes, F., 1991, in Dynamics of Galaxies and Their Molecular Cloud Distributions, IAU Symp. No. 156, F. Combes and F. Casoli eds., Kluwer Academic Publisher, 255
- [10] Combes, F., Dupraz, C., Gerin, M., 1990, in Dynamics and interactions of galaxies, R. Wielen ed., Springer Verlag, 205
- [11] de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, H. G., 1976, Second Reference Catalog of Bright Galaxies, Austin, University of Texas Press (RC2)
- [12] de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, H. G., Buta, R. J., Paturel, G., Fouqu  , P., Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies, Spring-Verlag, New York (RC3)
- [13] Elmegreen, D. M., Elmegreen, B. G., 1985, ApJ, 288, 438
- [14] Elmegreen, D. M., Elmegreen, B. G., 1989, ApJ, 342, 677

- [15] Elmegreen, D. M., Elmegreen, B. G., Bellin, A. D., 1990, *ApJ*, 364, 415 (EEB)
- [16] Fisher, J. R., Tully, R. B., 1981, *ApJS*, 47, 139
- [17] Geller, M. J., 1987, in *Large Scale Structure in the Universe*, L. Martinet and M. Mayor eds., *Saas-Fee Lectures*, 69
- [18] Geller, M. J., Hucra, J. P., 1983, *ApJS*, 52, 61
- [19] Gerin, M., Combes, F., Athanassoula, E., 1990 *A&A*, 230, 37
- [20] Girardi, M., Biviano, A., Giuricin, G., Mardirossian, F., Mezzetti, M., 1991, *ApJ*, 366, 393
- [21] Giuricin, G., Mardirossian, F., Mezzetti, M., Pisani, A., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 101
- [22] Giuricin, G., Mardirossian, F., Mezzetti, M., Pisani, A., Ramella, M., 1988, *A&A*, 192, 95
- [23] Heckman, T. M., 1990, in *Paired and Interacting Galaxies*, IAU Symp. No 124, J. W. Sulentic, W. C. Keel and C. M. Telesco eds., *NASA Conference publications*, 359
- [24] Hoel, P. G., 1971, *Introduction to Mathematical Statistics*, Wiley, New York
- [25] Kendall and Stuart, 1979, *The Advanced Theory of Statistics*, vol 2, 4th edition, Charles Griffin & C.
- [26] Icke, V., 1985, *A&A*, 144, 115
- [27] Kumai, Y., Taniguchi, Y., Ishii, H., 1986, *MNRAS*, 223, 139
- [28] Lacey, C., Silk, J., 1991, *ApJ*, 381, 14
- [29] Nilson, P. N., 1973, *Uppsala General Catalogue of Galaxies*, *Ann. Uppsala Astron. Obs. (UGC)*
- [30] Noguchi, M., 1987, *MNRAS*, 228, 635

- [31] Noguchi, M., 1988, *A&A*, 201, 37
- [32] Olson, K. M., Kwan, J., 1990, *ApJ*, 361, 426
- [33] Persic, M., Salucci, P., 1991, *ApJ*, 368, 60
- [34] Peterson, S. D., 1979, *ApJS*, 40, 527
- [35] Reif, K., Mebold, U., Goss, W. M., Van Woerden, H., Siegman, B., 1982, *A&AS*, 50, 451
- [36] Salo, H., 1991, *A&A*, 243, 118
- [37] Sandage, A., Tammann, G. A., 1981, *A Revised Shapley Ames Catalog of Bright Galaxies*, Carnegie Institution of Washington (RSA)
- [38] Shechter, P. L., 1976, *ApJ*, 203, 297
- [39] Thompson, L. A., 1981, *ApJ*, 244, L43
- [40] Tully, R. B., 1988a, *Nearby Galaxies Catalog*, Cambridge University Press (NBG)
- [41] Tully, R. B., 1988b, *AJ*, 96, 73
- [42] Tully, R. B., Fisher, J. R., 1987, *Nearby Galaxies Atlas*, Cambridge University Press (NBG Atlas)
- [43] Tully, R. B., Shaya, E. J., 1984, *ApJ*, 281, 31
- [44] Turner, E., 1976, *ApJ*, 208, 20
- [45] Turner, E., Gott, J. R., 1976, *ApJS*, 32, 409
- [46] Whitmore, 1990, in *Clusters of Galaxies*, W. R. Oegerl, M. S. Fitchett and L. Danly eds., Cambridge University Press, 139
- [47] Zaspel, C. E., 1992, *ApJ*, 385, 83
- [48] Zwicky, F., Herzog, E., Wild, P., Karpowicz, M., Kowal, C. T., 1961-1968, *Catalogue of Galaxies and Clusters of Galaxies*, California Institute of Technology

Figure captions

Figure 1: Plot of $\log \Delta\rho_\sigma$ versus $\log \sigma$ for our sample of NBG galaxies with $M_B \leq -16$ and distance $D \leq 30$ Mpc. The straight line fitting the data points is indicated.

Figure 2: Plots of (a) $\rho_{0.5}$, (b) $\rho_{1.25}$ and (c) $\rho_{1.5}$ versus $\rho_{1.0}$. The galaxies of the Virgo Cluster are denoted by squares, whereas the other galaxies are denoted by crosses.

Figure 3: Plots of the densities of galaxy counts $C_{1.0}$ versus $\rho_{0.5}$.

Figure 4: Plots of (a) d_1 (distance of the nearest galaxy) and (b) d_2 (distance of the second nearest galaxy) versus $\rho_{0.1}$.

Figure 5: Plots of the cumulative distribution functions of the local density parameters (a) $\rho_{0.25}$ and (b) $\rho_{1.0}$ for the Sa, Sab spiral galaxies. The distributions for the bar types SA, SAB, SB are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 6: Plots of the cumulative distribution functions of the local density parameters (a) $\rho_{0.25}$ and (b) $\rho_{1.0}$ for the Sb, Sbc spiral galaxies. The distributions for the bar types SA, SAB, SB are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 7: Plots of the cumulative distribution functions of the local density parameters (a) $\rho_{0.25}$ and (b) $\rho_{1.0}$ for the Sc, Scd spiral galaxies. The distributions for the bar types SA, SAB, SB are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 8: Plots of the cumulative distribution functions of the local density parameters (a) $\rho_{0.25}$ and (b) $\rho_{1.0}$ for the Sd, Sdm, Sm spiral galaxies.

The distributions for the bar types SA, SAB, SB are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 9: Plots of the cumulative distribution functions of the local density parameters (distances, in Mpc) (a) d_1 and (b) d_2 for the Sa, Sab spiral galaxies. The distributions for the bar types SA, SAB, SB are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 10: Plot of the cumulative distribution functions of the parameter T_1 (morphological type of the nearest galaxy, coded as in NBG catalogue) for the Sa, Sab spiral galaxies. The distributions for the bar types SA, SAB, SB are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 11: Plot of the cumulative distribution functions of the local density parameter $\rho_{0.25}$ for the Sa, Sab spiral galaxies (a) brighter and (b) fainter than $M_B = -20$. The distributions for the bar types SA, SAB, SB are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 12: Plots of the distribution functions of the morphological type T, coded as in NBG, for the (a) SA, (b) SAB and (c) SB spirals binned in three different local density ranges: $\rho_{0.25} < 0.001$ (ld), $0.001 \leq \rho_{0.25} \leq 3.0$ (md), $\rho_{0.025} > 3$ galaxies Mpc^{-3} (hd), denoted by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.

Figure 13: Plots of the cumulative distribution functions of the local density parameter $\rho_{0.25}$ for the Sa, Sab spiral galaxies. The distributions for the ring types S(r), S(rs), S(s) are indicated by solid, dotted, dashed lines respectively.